## Gold Risk, Crash Fear, and Expected Stock Returns

## Nima Ebrahimi

University of North Texas

Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022

Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022

1/26

Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance

3

(1日) (1日) (1日)

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance
- Classic example ⇒ Equity premium puzzle: averaged stock returns are far too high to be explained by the observed risk in consumption (Mehra and Prescott, 1985)

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance
- Classic example ⇒ Equity premium puzzle: averaged stock returns are far too high to be explained by the observed risk in consumption (Mehra and Prescott, 1985)
- Potential resolution: We do not observe the risk that agents genuinely care about

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance
- Classic example ⇒ Equity premium puzzle: averaged stock returns are far too high to be explained by the observed risk in consumption (Mehra and Prescott, 1985)
- Potential resolution: We do not observe the risk that agents genuinely care about
- Rare disaster models (Rietz, 1988; Barro, 2006) ⇒ Agents care about infrequent but severe macroeconomic crises

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance
- Classic example ⇒ Equity premium puzzle: averaged stock returns are far too high to be explained by the observed risk in consumption (Mehra and Prescott, 1985)
- Potential resolution: We do not observe the risk that agents genuinely care about
- Rare disaster models (Rietz, 1988; Barro, 2006) ⇒ Agents care about infrequent but severe macroeconomic crises
- Gabaix (2012), Gourio (2012), and Wachter (2013) ⇒ Variation in macroeconomic tail risk can rationalize macro-finance puzzles

- Inability of models to reconcile asset prices and macroeconomic risk  $\Rightarrow$  Many puzzles in macro-finance
- Classic example ⇒ Equity premium puzzle: averaged stock returns are far too high to be explained by the observed risk in consumption (Mehra and Prescott, 1985)
- Potential resolution: We do not observe the risk that agents genuinely care about
- Rare disaster models (Rietz, 1988; Barro, 2006) ⇒ Agents care about infrequent but severe macroeconomic crises
- Gabaix (2012), Gourio (2012), and Wachter (2013) ⇒ Variation in macroeconomic tail risk can rationalize macro-finance puzzles
- The success of rare disasters models rely on a seemingly unobserved variable the time-varying probability of a large macroeconomic crisis

• Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

• Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven

3/26

• How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents

- 人間 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト - ヨ

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it
  - Consumption  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-planned and smoothly growing

- 小田 ト イヨト 一日

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it
  - Consumption  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-planned and smoothly growing
  - Safe Haven  $\Rightarrow$  Spontaneous and jumpy

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it
  - Consumption  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-planned and smoothly growing
  - Safe Haven  $\Rightarrow$  Spontaneous and jumpy
  - Jump vs. Diffusion

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ → 国

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it
  - Consumption  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-planned and smoothly growing
  - Safe Haven  $\Rightarrow$  Spontaneous and jumpy
  - Jump vs. Diffusion
- Options provide an additional dimension (strike)

(E) (E)

- Gold as a three-dimensional macroeconomic player: High tech, Jewelry and Safe Haven
  - · How to isolate the safe haven channel in gold market
  - Classic problem in commodity finance (economics)  $\Rightarrow$  Estimating supply, demand and their constituents
  - Concentrate on the safe haven demand channel and separating it
  - Consumption  $\Rightarrow$  Pre-planned and smoothly growing
  - Safe Haven  $\Rightarrow$  Spontaneous and jumpy
  - Jump vs. Diffusion
- Options provide an additional dimension (strike)
- Building up and option-based index which isolates the safe haven channel of demand in gold market

Bollerslev and Todorov (2011) propose the following methodology to measure the risk-neutral jump tail measures as:

$$RT_{t}^{Q}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{R}^{T} (e^{x} - e^{k})^{+} E_{t}^{Q}(\nu_{s}^{Q}(dx)) ds \approx \frac{e^{r(t,T]}C_{t}(K)}{(T-t)F_{t}}$$
$$LT_{t}^{Q}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{R}^{T} (e^{k} - e^{x})^{+} E_{t}^{Q}(\nu_{s}^{Q}(dx)) ds \approx \frac{e^{r(t,T]}P_{t}(K)}{(T-t)F_{t}}$$

The basic idea is that the deep out-of-the-money options can only be in-the-money in very short term if a jump happens

- 人間 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト - ヨ

- The macroeconomic data series are downloaded from Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED)
- The raw options data (options on gold future contracts) is from the CME (formerly NYMEX) for the period 1986 through 2020
- The historical prices of precious metals are from London Bullion Market(LBM)
- For the stock returns, we use the CRSP value-weighted index returns
- The risk-free rate, and the factor mimicking portfolio returns for size, book-to -market, and momentum factors are downloaded from the online data library of Kenneth R. French

- $\log PD_t$  is the log price-dividend ratio from Robert Shiller's website
- log  $PE_t$  is the cyclically adjusted price-earnings ratio from Robert Shiller's website
- $\log PNY_t$  is the net-payout yield from Michael Robert's website
- $CAY_t$  is the consumption-wealth ratio from Martin Lettau's website
- *VRP<sub>t</sub>* is the variance risk premium, the difference between risk-neutral and physical variance, from Hao Zhou's website

- The problem here  $\Rightarrow$  We do not have a continuum of implied volatilities
- Solution  $\Rightarrow$  Using linear interpolation to get a continuum of implied volatilities
- The next stage would be to go from continuum of implied volatilities to continuum of prices, using Black (1976)
- Gold Risk-Neutral Jump (GRNJ) = Integral of call option prices with strike  $X \ge F(t, T_1)e^{4\sigma\sqrt{(T-t)}}$





э

> < ≣ >

• • • • • • • • • •



Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

э

▶ ★ 臣 ▶



э

< □ > < 同

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	1m	3m	бm	1y	2y	Зу	4y	5y
$GRNJ_t$	0.00521**	0.00330***	0.00302**	0.00268**	0.00272***	0.00256**	0.00244***	0.00223***
	(0.00202)	(0.000943)	(0.00116)	(0.00104)	(0.000779)	(0.000847)	(0.000519)	(0.000448)
_cons	-0.0727	-0.0201	-0.0120	-0.00338	-0.00686	-0.00575	-0.00595	-0.0000490
	(0.0801)	(0.0559)	(0.0604)	(0.0577)	(0.0370)	(0.0415)	(0.0238)	(0.0228)
$R_{adj}^2$	0.045	0.049	0.071	0.113	0.220	0.313	0.382	0.416

Standard errors in parentheses

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つへ⊙

		1m			3m			1y			5у		
	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	
$GRNJ_t$	0.005	3.219	0.045	0.003	3.651	0.049	0.003	2.932	0.113	0.002	6.642	0.416	
$\log GP_t$	0.145	2.090	0.010	0.163	1.932	0.045	0.171	1.889	0.180	0.074	1.276	0.172	
$\log PD_t$	-0.190	-0.402	-0.002	-0.235	-0.688	0.007	-0.358	-4.699	0.090	-0.346	-5.517	0.454	
$\log PE_t$	-0.276	-1.127	0.005	-0.314	-2.056	0.029	-0.272	-2.147	0.080	-0.283	-5.500	0.470	
$\log PNY_t$	0.956	1.086	0.028	0.930	1.078	0.072	0.468	1.445	0.052	-0.106	-0.754	0.009	
$CAY_t$	-13.449	-1.996	0.029	-9.026	-1.647	0.031	-4.336	-1.127	0.020	-4.475	-2.148	0.154	
$VRP_t$	0.623	3.930	0.076	0.430	4.903	0.098	0.093	1.437	0.011	0.065	1.234	0.035	
$DFSP_t$	-0.080	-0.525	0.001	-0.026	-0.279	-0.003	0.056	2.372	0.021	0.066	3.859	0.186	
Inflation <sub>t</sub>	3.267	0.262	-0.005	7.398	0.509	0.001	-13.869	-2.180	0.065	-5.367	-3.721	0.050	
$TMSP_t$	-0.011	-0.384	-0.005	-0.010	-0.501	-0.004	0.029	1.856	0.037	0.041	4.493	0.418	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

			1m		3m					
	$GRNJ_t$	tstat	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	$GRNJ_t$	tstat	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$
$\log GP_t$	0.005	2.375	0.014	0.155	0.040	0.003	1.999	0.068	0.736	0.047
$\log PD_t$	0.006	2.292	0.248	0.412	0.044	0.003	1.884	-0.031	-0.061	0.041
$\log PE_t$	0.006	2.802	0.103	0.281	0.041	0.003	2.632	-0.067	-0.219	0.042
$CAY_t$	0.005	2.761	-4.765	-1.293	0.055	0.003	2.540	-4.461	-1.305	0.083
$VRP_t$	0.005	3.206	0.618	4.520	0.120	0.003	3.272	0.422	6.442	0.136
$DFSP_t$	0.006	3.256	-16.056	-1.097	0.063	0.004	3.391	-6.767	-0.504	0.051
Inflation <sub>t</sub>	0.006	3.284	25.886	1.297	0.067	0.004	3.335	16.789	1.070	0.070
TMSPt	0.006	3.219	-3.986	-1.253	0.048	0.004	3.361	-2.010	-0.720	0.046

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

## Bivariate Return Predictability-Long Horizon

		1y		5у						
	$GRNJ_t$	tstat	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$	$GRNJ_t$	tstat	Coef	tstat	$\bar{R}^2$
$\log GP_t$	0.001	1.836	0.132	1.801	0.198	0.002	5.868	0.055	2.795	0.511
$\log PD_t$	0.002	2.541	-0.209	-1.626	0.138	0.001	5.636	-0.247	-4.796	0.613
$\log PE_t$	0.002	2.992	-0.149	-1.686	0.137	0.002	4.854	-0.150	-5.033	0.555
$CAY_t$	0.003	3.854	-1.722	-1.000	0.136	0.002	7.605	-0.995	-2.110	0.494
$VRP_t$	0.003	3.679	0.084	1.930	0.127	0.002	6.445	0.048	1.833	0.457
$DFSP_t$	0.003	3.277	2.244	0.494	0.118	0.002	5.868	3.706	5.142	0.491
Inflation <sub>t</sub>	0.002	3.698	-8.610	-1.331	0.140	0.002	6.324	-2.268	-1.641	0.444
TMSPt	0.003	3.874	1.679	1.305	0.127	0.002	4.949	2.649	4.060	0.602

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

- Goyal and Welch (2008) argue that most of the well-known in-sample return predictors perform poorly out-of-sample
- The out-of-sample  $R^2$  is given by:

$$R_{OS}^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{T-m} (r_{m+k}^{e} - \hat{r}_{m+k}^{e})^{2}}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{T-m} (r_{m+k}^{e} - \bar{r}_{m+k}^{e})^{2}}$$

- · We consider windows of length 120 months and 180 months to estimate betas
- The p-values are calculated using the adjusted-MSPE statistic of Clark and West (2007) given by:

$$f_{t+1} = (r_{t+1} - \bar{r}_{t+1})^2 - [(r_{t+1} - \hat{r}_{t+1})^2 - (\bar{r}_{t+1} - \hat{r}_{t+1})^2]$$

Which is regressed against a constant and the test is a one-sided test

	$120m_{exp}$	pval	$180 m_{exp}$	pval	120m <sub>roll</sub>	pval	180m <sub>roll</sub>	pval
1m	0.070	0.002	0.076	0.013	0.041	0.020	0.059	0.026
3m	0.173	0.000	0.125	0.003	0.085	0.002	0.076	0.012
6m	0.291	0.000	0.188	0.001	0.151	0.000	0.083	0.011
1y	0.453	0.000	0.260	0.000	0.274	0.000	0.128	0.004
2y	0.562	0.000	0.333	0.000	0.393	0.000	0.239	0.000
Зy	0.527	0.000	0.267	0.000	0.386	0.000	0.214	0.000
4y	0.460	0.000	0.117	0.002	0.344	0.000	0.148	0.000
5y	0.443	0.000	-0.085	0.008	0.345	0.000	0.072	0.000

Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへで

	$GRNJ_t$
NVIXt	1.911***
	(0.530)
NVIX <sub>SM</sub>	-2.645
	(3.402)
PUIt	0.00926
-	(0.0675)
TedSpread <sub>t</sub>	-7.495
, -	(4.110)
$\Delta IP_t$	-312.7
-	(309.2)
$DFSP_t$	<b>`</b> 16.88 <sup>´</sup>
	(11.19)
$\Delta IPMAN$	-310.7
	(466.7)
$\Delta PCE$	-214.8
	(332.1)
Constant	-26.67**
	(9.438)
$R^2_{adj}$	0.396

p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	NVIXt	NVIX <sub>SMt</sub>	$PUI_t$	Intermediation <sub>t</sub>	$\Delta IP_t$
$GRNJ_{t-1}$	0.104**	0.00165	0.483***	0.00708*	-0.0000361
	(0.0360)	(0.00293)	(0.137)	(0.00325)	(0.0000309)
$GRNJ_{t-2}$	0.00816	0.000853	0.0925	0.00535*	-0.0000145
	(0.0170)	(0.00187)	(0.0853)	(0.00263)	(0.0000379)
$GRNJ_{t-3}$	0.0482*	0.00181	0.168	0.00362	-0.00000308
	(0.0198)	(0.00262)	(0.125)	(0.00408)	(0.0000311)
$GRNJ_{t-4}$	0.0720**	0.00554*	0.341**	0.000986	0.0000368
	(0.0274)	(0.00249)	(0.116)	(0.00414)	(0.0000364)
Constant	19.44***	1.456***	87.98***	1.022**	0.00125
	(2.701)	(0.218)	(10.66)	(0.374)	(0.000999)
$R^2_{adj}$	0.300	0.049	0.217	0.071	-0.007

Standard errors in parentheses

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	$Slope_t^{20\Delta}$	$Slope_t^{20\Delta}$	$Slope_t^{30\Delta}$	$Slope_t^{30\Delta}$	$Slope_t^{40\Delta}$	$Slope_t^{40\Delta}$
$GRNJ_t$	0.00181**	0.000614	0.00392**	0.00133*	0.00689**	0.00268*
	(0.000599)	(0.000322)	(0.00132)	(0.000663)	(0.00230)	(0.00105)
$\sigma_{ATM_t}$		0.0506***		0.109***		0.177***
		(0.00499)		(0.0107)		(0.0176)
_cons	0.170***	0.0300	0.393***	0.0921*	0.747***	0.257***
	(0.0239)	(0.0194)	(0.0519)	(0.0404)	(0.0890)	(0.0641)
$R^2_{adj}$	0.132	0.772	0.131	0.760	0.143	0.730

Standard errors in parentheses

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

• Gold can be used for: Jewelry, Production, and Safe Haven

3

イロト 不得 ト イヨト イヨト

- Gold can be used for: Jewelry, Production, and Safe Haven
- Other precious metals are mainly used for jewelry and production

Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022

э

21/26

- Gold can be used for: Jewelry, Production, and Safe Haven
- Other precious metals are mainly used for jewelry and production
- Precious Metals: Gold, Palladium, Platinum, Silver, Rhodium and Iridium
- Huang and Kilic (2019) use the log of gold price over platinum price as a proxy for safe haven channel

(B) < B)</p>

- Gold can be used for: Jewelry, Production, and Safe Haven
- Other precious metals are mainly used for jewelry and production
- Precious Metals: Gold, Palladium, Platinum, Silver, Rhodium and Iridium
- Huang and Kilic (2019) use the log of gold price over platinum price as a proxy for safe haven channel
- The deviation of gold price from the average price of precious metals  $\Rightarrow$  Safe haven channel

**EX 2 EX** 

- Gold can be used for: Jewelry, Production, and Safe Haven
- Other precious metals are mainly used for jewelry and production
- Precious Metals: Gold, Palladium, Platinum, Silver, Rhodium and Iridium
- Huang and Kilic (2019) use the log of gold price over platinum price as a proxy for safe haven channel
- The deviation of gold price from the average price of precious metals  $\Rightarrow$  Safe haven channel
- The data for gold, palladium, silver and platinum is available from 1986 to 2020



э



Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

 → Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 23 / 26

э



Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 24 / 26

э

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

## **Out-of-Sample Prediction**

	$120m_{exp}$	pval	$180 m_{exp}$	pval	$120m_{roll}$	pval	$180 m_{roll}$	pval
1m	0.008	0.013	0.027	0.004	-0.008	0.139	0.020	0.010
3m	0.080	0.000	0.087	0.000	0.077	0.000	0.079	0.000
6m	0.193	0.000	0.188	0.000	0.226	0.000	0.174	0.000
1y	0.325	0.000	0.279	0.000	0.383	0.000	0.256	0.000
2y	0.442	0.000	0.308	0.000	0.539	0.000	0.297	0.000
Зy	0.420	0.000	0.169	0.000	0.526	0.000	0.291	0.000
4y	0.418	0.000	0.200	0.000	0.499	0.000	0.413	0.000
5y	0.448	0.000	0.314	0.000	0.503	0.000	0.530	0.000

Nima Ebrahimi (University of North Texas)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

• The option-based gold economic disaster index is a powerful predictor of stock returns during the 2004-2020 period

- The option-based gold economic disaster index is a powerful predictor of stock returns during the 2004-2020 period
- The index keeps its significance in presence of all well-known stock market returns predictors during the 2004-2020 period

3

- The option-based gold economic disaster index is a powerful predictor of stock returns during the 2004-2020 period
- The index keeps its significance in presence of all well-known stock market returns predictors during the 2004-2020 period
- The index can predict major macroeconomic and economic uncertainty indices during the 2004-2020 period

- The option-based gold economic disaster index is a powerful predictor of stock returns during the 2004-2020 period
- The index keeps its significance in presence of all well-known stock market returns predictors during the 2004-2020 period
- The index can predict major macroeconomic and economic uncertainty indices during the 2004-2020 period
- The Score index is a powerful predictor out-of-sample stock market returns during the 1986-2020 period

26 / 26

Friday 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022